How to get funds for research on radiation & its applications

Grzegorz Wrochna National Centre for Nuclear Research





Euratom Fission 2007-2011

EU contribution and number of participations per country



Grzegorz Wrochna

Go to France!



Euratom Fission 2007-2011

EU contribution and number of participations per country



Grzegorz Wrochna



- Why participation of new EU states in FP7 was much lower than EU15?
- Two major reasons:
 - Lower national funds for research
 - Lack of advanced research infrastructure
- EU15 coutries are better prepared to undertake ambitious projects
- In effect the EU research funds increase the gap (contrary to the intention)



Synchrotrons in Europe



Grzegorz Wrochna



Synchrotrons in Europe

SOLARIS in Cracow under construction

Grzegorz Wrochna





EU and regional research infrastructures

- System with large R.I. in only a few countries is not sustainable
- Researchers from other countries must have possibility to make careers & educate new generations at home
- Otherwise, it is just brain-drain
- We have to reverse this trend



Grzegorz Wrochna



- Physicist point of view:
 - o momentum = speed × mass
 - If we have lower speed, we need to increase the mass to get higher momentum
- The only way for new EU states to compete / cooperate on equal footing with EU15 is to join forces and work together
- The first examples:
 - ELI Extreme Light Infrastructure
 - Czech Republic, Hungary, Rumania
 - V4G4 Visegrad-4 for Generation-4 reactors
 - BRILLIANT
 - Estonia, Latvia, Lithuania, Poland, Sweden

Visegrad-4 for Generation-4 reactors



Grzegorz Wrochna

Baltic Region Initiative for Long Lasting InnovAtive Nuclear Technologies

BRILLI



Local problems:

- Relatively small power systems
 and justification for autonomous
 handling of nuclear wastes
- Basic technical level of heavy industry & diminishing number of qualified workers
- Poor nuclear research infrastructure & competence gap between old and new nuclear programs

Towards regional solutions:

- Analysis of electric power systems
- Regional cooperation on nuclear waste and fuel cycle
- Macroeconomic impact of nuclear programs
- Nuclear R&D capacity building



NFRP 14 – 2014: Regional initiative aiming at nuclear research and training capacity building

<u>Specific challenge</u>: Maintaining competence in fission safety remains of interest for a number of Member States especially in the Baltic and **Eastern European region**. Discussions have started at these various regional levels with the aim to develop jointly sustainable applications of fission for e.g. new and safer research reactor technology, radioactive waste management and training and education in these fields.

<u>Scope:</u> The aim is to support the exchange of scientific staff and the sharing of equipment, knowledge and competences between private and/or public research laboratories within the region and with similar organisations in other EU Member States. This action should take advantage of and develop synergies with on-going and future Euratom projects in particular those offering access to research infrastructures in conjunction with education and training. A strong involvement of appropriate public bodies from the Member States concerned is essential, as well as links with relevant platforms such as SNETP and IGD-TP. This action should also aim at examining how Structural Funds could possibly be mobilised to further develop regional cooperation in the area.

<u>Expected impact</u>: The capacity building at regional level for nuclear research and training through cooperation and networking will **reduce regional disparity** in the European Union. Such effort will reinforce the EU excellence in fission relevant applications and in particular in nuclear safety and radioactive waste management.



NFRP 14 was added to Workprogramme 2014-15:

- After presentations of V4G4 & Brilliant initiatives at FISA 2013 conference during Lithuanian EU presidency
 - Minister of Economy of Lithuania
- On requests of ministries of science of Hungary, Lithuania & Poland expressed in letters to DG R&I EC
- Following many discussions with EC officers



FP4,5,6 – bottom-up approach:

- EU funded projects proposed by scientists FP7, H2020 – top-down approach
- Workprograms are created "on request" by:
 - member states (ministries)
 - Polish MNiSW represented in Euratom-Fission
 Program Committe by Maciej Kiełmiński & GW
 - technology platforms
 - Sustainable Nuclear Technology Technology Platform: CLOR, IChTJ, IFJ PAN, NCBJ, WPT are members, Tomasz Jackowski in Executive Committee, GW in Governing Board



Sustainable Nuclear Energy Technology Platform





SNE-TP strategy documents



Vision Report 2007 <image>

Strategic Research

Agenda

2009 / 2013



Deployment Strategy 2010

Education & Training 2010

SRA Annex: Thorium cycles and Thorium as a nuclear fuel component - 2011 SRA Annex: Molten Salt Reactors – 2012

Download: www.snetp.eu

Prints: <secretariat@snetp.eu>

Grzegorz Wrochna



- Workprogramme contains <20 topics
- Typically 1-4 projects per topic are allowed
- Usually, large pan-european consortia are formed for each topic
- In practice, once workprogramme is announced, consortia are already formed
- Only those have chance, who have international collaboration <u>before</u> the call is launched



- Research infrastructure suitable for European level study
- Experienced research group with stable national funding
- National research programme
 in the area of interest
- Established long-term international collaboration
- Support of Ministry of Science in dialog with EC



- Excellent scientists are in every country
- Those will get most out of H2020 who will be supported by their governments with:
 - top-class research infrastructures
 - stable funds for national programmes
 - ministries promoting national research priorities in EC
- Others will follow Maria Skłodowska--Curie using mobility grants





Euratom Fission \rightarrow **H2020**

EU contribution and number of participations per country



Grzegorz Wrochna

Good luck in H2020 applications!

